

Plan	Road / Street	Object	Support	Support In part	Neither	Comments
61	Apsley Road	y				Objector believes proposal will speed traffic up instead of slowing it which will be detrimental to public safety not assist it. Resident 25 years.
33	Burleigh Gardens	y				Objection to proposal due to displacement of cars from no's 3,5,7 & 9. Would prefer a syl proposal in place instead of dyl in same position. Suggested time no parking between 1000am and 4pm and residents parking.
33	Burleigh Gardens			y		Agree dyl. Object to dyl o/s nos 3,5,7 & 9. Would like a single white line o/s no.2 up to the garage.
33	Burleigh Gardens	y				No parking issues at site. Will remove all parking for residents and visitors for no reason. No Parking permits. Sheltered accommodation will be effected badly. Very opposed to dyls o/s terrace of houses. Suggests that if required, a single or dyl to be painted outside no.2 leading onto no. 20 South Lea only.
33	Burleigh Gardens			y		Pleased that action is being taken to stop occasional careless parking which restricts the bin men from emptying bins. Does not agree with dyl proposal as this will cause parking issues for all residents. Would like a syl proposal in place instead of dyl in same position. Suggested time no parking between 1000am and 4pm.
33	Burleigh Gardens			y		Full agreement with proposal above.
33	Burleigh Gardens			y		Objection to proposal due to displacement of cars from no's 3,5,7 & 9. Would prefer a DYL outside no. 2 Burleigh and alongside road up to no. 26 South Lea.
33	Burleigh Gardens			y		Objection to proposal due to displacement of cars from no's 3,5,7 & 9. Would prefer a DYL outside no.2 Burleigh and alongside road up to no.26 South Lea with an extension of the dyl for 3 or 4 yds to allow occupant to reverse out of driveway safely although this extension is not part of the consultation or this section of road to be replaced with a syl limited waiting marking
79	Beechen Cliff Road	y				Objection to proposal as no safety issue at the site. Has never seen an accident in ten years and will seriously reduce parking. Believes that parked cars on this bend reduce vehicle speed not hinder safety issues.
79	Beechen Cliff Road	y				Objection to proposal as no safety issue at the site. Has never seen an accident in 30 yrs and will seriously reduce parking. Believes that parked cars on this bend reduce vehicle speed not hinder safety issues. Parking spaces needed for on street pkg
79	Beechen Cliff Road	y				Objection to proposal as proposal will seriously reduce parking for residents. No issues at site. Parking spaces needed for on street residents parking.
79	Beechen Cliff Road			y		Agree dyl on bend as visibility blocked. Object to dyl extended as it will seriously reduce car parking for residents.
79	Beechen Cliff Road	y				Objection to proposal as proposal will seriously reduce parking for residents. Parking spaces needed for on street residents parking.

Plan	Road / Street	Object	Support	Support In part	Neither	Comments
79	Beechen Cliff Road	y				Objection to proposal as proposal will seriously reduce parking for residents. Parking spaces needed for on street residents parking.
79	Beechen Cliff Road			y		DYLS corner of Chaucer Road to be extended 2 metres due to poor visibility when cars parked. Cyclists cannot see what is coming. O/s Cliff Lodge, cars park making passing difficult. Request that dyls to be extended o/s the Cliff Lodge side as they do not think emergency vehicles could pass. This however cannot be considered as not consulted on
79	Beechen Cliff Road			y		In agreement with proposals for upper Beechen Cliff but not lower end as not enough parking for residents. Will cause major parking issues. Permits wanted.
79	Beechen Cliff Road	y				Objection to proposal as proposal will seriously reduce parking for residents. Parking spaces needed for on street residents parking.
79	Beechen Cliff and Chaucer Road	y				Objection to proposal as no safety issue at the site. Has never seen an accident in ten years. Believes that parked cars on this bend reduce vehicle speed not hinder safety issues. If lines go down proposed outside Croftside and the other side, this will cause a chicane which fire engines may have difficulty traversing.
79	Beechen Cliff and Chaucer Road	y				Objection to proposal as no safety issue at the site. Has never seen an accident in ten years. There were white lines on the junction, but when the road was resurfaced, the lines were repainted longer causing the loss of a car space. If dyls go down, objector would like them as originally painted.
79	Beechen Cliff and Chaucer Road			y		Would like a 2m extension of dyl at the corner with Chaucer Road as visibility poor. Cyclists cannot see ahead. Yellow lines to be extended on the Cliff Lodge side as a pinch point. Fire engines would not be able to pass. This however cannot be considered as not consulted on
10	Chaucer Rd & Devonshire Place			y		In agreement with the proposals however would like dyls outside no. 51 as visibility impaired on corner. This however cannot be considered as not consulted on.
10	Chaucer Rd & Devonshire Place			y		In agreement with the proposals however would like dyls outside no. 51 as visibility impaired on corner. This however cannot be considered as not consulted on.
52	Deanhill Lane / Symes Park	y				Deanhill Lane and Symes Park used by commuter parking. These proposals will displace parking to opposite side of road. Both narrow roads. Parking both sides will block the road to anything bigger than a small vehicle.
52	Broadmoor Lane	y				Objector believes proposal will speed traffic up instead of slowing it. No issues at site. Resident 10 years.
52	Broadmoor Lane	y				Will reduce residential parking causing residents to get blocked in by parents on the school run. Moving the proposal along the road will make it hazardous to children residents etc further down the road due to blocked views and no visibility.

Plan	Road / Street	Object	Support	Support In part	Neither	Comments
52	Broadmoor Lane	y				Will reduce residential parking causing residents to get blocked in by parents on the school run. Moving the proposal along the road will make it hazardous to children residents etc further down the road due to blocked views and no visibility.
52	Broadmoor Lane	y				No safety issues at site. The proposal will force the same cars to move up the road. A waste of Council money.
52	Broadmoor Lane			y		Moving the proposal along the road will make it hazardous to children residents etc as no pavements. Agreement for zigzags to be extended. Objection to dyls as this will speed traffic up instead of slow it down.
52	Broadmoor Lane, Deanhill Lane / Symes Park	y				Objection to restriction outside school as this will speed traffic up instead of slow it down. Removing parking on south side will speed traffic up. The pavement is only one person wide. Pedestrians have to walk in the road. Moving the proposal along the road will make it hazardous to children residents etc as no pavements. Wish Broadmoor Lane to retain its rural character. Objection to Symes Park proposal as will reduce the entrance to Symes Park causing a bottleneck. If Deanhill Lane has limited waiting, all day parking will move to the other side which will block the narrow road and stop tractors getting thru that use the road up to their farms. Broadmoor Lane Residents Association
81	Claremont Road	y				Restricting the upperpart of Claremont Road will cause overspill to adjacent roads. Request to de-zone the adjacent part of Camden Road.
81	Claremont Road	y				Objection to proposal as proposal will seriously reduce parking for residents. Parking spaces needed for on street residents parking.
81	Claremont Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors for no reason when there is already a shortage. Objector has one car in family as no where to park. Friends avoid visiting due to parking situation. Many young families and elderly in area have to walk a long way with bags, buggies etc to home. The parked cars slow the traffic down making the road safer for children and pedestrians. No known traffic incidents at this site. Removal of on street parking will encourage unsuitable use of the road by lorries, coaches. The proposed 20 mph speed limit will increase safety. No further measures required as no necessary. Objection to restrictions near eastern side of junction of Claremont Road as wide junction which does not impact on drivers visibility. Parking permits requested.
81	Claremont Road	y				Restricting the upperpart of Claremont Road will cause overspill to adjacent roads. Objector elderly and cannot walk far.
81	Claremont Road	y				DYs will increase speed in the road and will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors displacing it into nearby roads.
81	Claremont Road					DYs will increase speed in the road and will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors.

Plan	Road / Street	Object	Support	Support In part	Neither	Comments
81	Claremont Road		y			Agree dyl as this will assist visibility. Would prefer a waiting restriction of 2 hrs between Mon - Fri 8am to 6pm on the syl proposal to allow visitor to visit residents.
81	Claremont Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors when space is at a premium. It will also effect the church and community groups who will not be able to park. No proposals should be done until Southbourne Gardens is completed.
81	Claremont Road			y		DYs from Eastville to Claremont Road should be extended to give a visibilty splay of 45m and in line with the opposite side to increase safety at the site.
81	Claremont Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors when there is already a shortage. Many young families and elderly in area have to walk a long way with bags, buggies etc to home. The parked cars slow the traffic down making the road safer for children and pedestrians.
81	Claremont Road			y		Agree all except dyl to left of Eastville jct with Claremont Rd. Would prefer amendment to limited waiting, 1 or 2 hours no return within 2 hrs to allow visitors and nurses.
81	Claremont Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors. Residents only have off street parking and is already stretched.
81	Claremont Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors when space is at a premium. It will also effect the church and community groups who will not be able to park. No proposals should be done until Southbourne Gardens is completed.
81	Claremont Road	y				Proposal does not seem joined up with Southbourne Gardens. Objector believes traffic will speed up and safety will be compromised.
81	Claremont Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors when there is already a shortage. Many young families and elderly in area have to walk a long way with bags, buggies etc to home. Parked cars slow the traffic down making the road safer for children and pedestrians. Dyls will speed the road up to be used as a rat run to London Rd
81	Claremont Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors when there is already a shortage. Many young families and elderly in area have to walk a long way with bags, buggies etc to home.
81	Claremont Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors when there is already a shortage. Many young families and elderly in area have to walk a long way with bags, buggies etc to home.
81	Claremont Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors when there is already a shortage. Many young families and elderly in area have to walk a long way with bags, buggies etc to home. The parked cars slow the traffic down making the road safer for children and pedestrians. Dyls will speed the road up to be used as a rat run to London Rd.

Plan	Road / Street	Object	Support	Support In part	Neither	Comments
81	Claremont Road	y				Many young families and elderly in area have to walk a long way with bags, buggies etc to home. It would not be feasible to have to park some distance away. The parked cars slow the traffic down making the road safer for children and pedestrians. Claremont is already 20mph. No further restrictions necessary. Will remove parking for residents and visitors for no reason. Proposal will displace parking to neighbouring streets. Parking permits requested.
44 & 81	Claremont Road / Eastbourne Avenue	y				NO parking issues at site. Will remove parking for residents and visitors for no reason. No Parking permits.
44 & 81	Claremont Road / Eastbourne Avenue	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors for no reason. No Parking permits. Many young families and elderly in area have to walk a long way with bags, buggies etc to home.
44 & 81	Claremont Road / Eastbourne Avenue	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors for no reason. No Parking permits. Many young families and elderly in area have to walk a long way with bags, buggies etc to home.
44	Eastbourne Avenue	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors for no reason. No Parking permits. Many young families and elderly in area have to walk a long way with bags, buggies etc to home. Objector has disabled child. It would not be feasible to have to park some distance away. The parked cars slow the traffic down making the road safer for children and pedestrians. Parking permits requested.
44 & 81	Claremont Road / Eastbourne Avenue	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors when space is at a premium. No Parking permits. Many young families and elderly in area have to walk a long way with bags, buggies etc to home. It will be worse when the 12 new 4 bedroom houses are finished.
44 & 81	Claremont Road / Eastbourne Avenue	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors when space is at a premium. Many young families and elderly in area have to walk a long way with bags, buggies etc to home. It will be worse when the 12 new 4 bedroom houses are finished. One resident has altered their front garden to a driveway and others will follow if dyl are put down.
44 & 81	Claremont Road / Eastbourne Avenue	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors when space is at a premium. Many young families and elderly in area have to walk a long way with bags, buggies etc to home. Putting down dyls will cause the traffic to speed up causing public safety issues. It will also effect the church and community groups who will not be able to park.
14	Elliston Drive	y				Objected to as not required. WKC markings put down previously have sorted the issue
75	Frankland Close		y			In support as previous health and safety risks caused for emergency vehicles and waste vehicles that cannot get through.

Plan	Road / Street	Object	Support	Support In part	Neither	Comments
35	Gloucester Road		y			In support as previous health and safety risks caused by near miss accidents and cars blocking driveways. Will help to stop children having to cross the road to the park
35	Gloucester Road		y			agree with proposals but would like to see more parking proposals.
35	Gloucester Road		y			Support proposal without modifications.
35	Gloucester Road	y				No changes required as unnecessary. However would welcome WKC in front of driveways
35	Gloucester Road	y				Objection to dyls outside the houses as residents will have to cross busy road and has elderly residents. Objector owns a business van which could be vandalised across the road. Will cause a huge negative impact to residents.
35	Gloucester Road	y				parking on both sides slow the traffic down. Objector will have to lead his 4 children across the road to get into the car which is dangerous. Believes 2 sections of dyls would suffice not the whole road. There are only 2-3 events per year at the park where parking is suspended so does not see the need for restrictions. Reducing parking will push cars to nearby roads. The opposite of the road where dyls are proposed has high banks and car doors cannot be opened forcing children to get out into the road which is again very dangerous. Pitman Court is unadopted road owned by the home owners. Objector does not want dyls painted on it.
11	High St, Weston			y		Objection to this proposal as wants a full consultation for Weston and Newbridge to tackle the problems of RUH, Tesco and schools parking which is causing huge problems to all residents. RUH to be refused any extension until parking resolved.
11	High St, Weston	y				Objection to this proposal as wants a full consultation for Weston and Newbridge to tackle the problems of RUH, Tesco and contractor vans which is causing huge problems to all residents.
11	High St, Weston	y				Objection to this proposal as wants a full consultation for Weston and Newbridge to tackle the problems of RUH, Tesco and contractor vans which is causing huge problems to all residents.
11	High St, Weston	y				Objection to this proposal as wants a full consultation for Weston to tackle the problems of RUH parking which is causing huge problems to all residents. It is felt this will exacerbate the problem.
11	High St, Weston	y				Objection to this proposal as wants a full consultation for Weston to tackle the problems of RUH and Tesco, i:e the RUH to provide their own parking. Objector feels this will only benefit Tesco and shoppers but will cause huge problems for the council tax paying residents. Would like Parking permits first, 2 hour parking after.
11	High St, Weston	y				Objection to this proposal. Resident is elderly and cannot walk far and has no off street parking. Objector feels this will only benefit Tesco and shoppers but will cause huge problems for residents especially the non able bodied. Would welcome Parking permits.

Plan	Road / Street	Object	Support	Support In part	Neither	Comments
11	High St, Weston	y				Objection to this proposal. Resident lives in nearby Chandler Road, is elderly and cannot walk far and has no off street parking living in sheltered accomodation. Objector feels that road is heavily used by RUH staff and visitors who will disperse into Chandler Road causing more issues than now.
11	High St, Weston	y				Objection to this proposal. Resident is elderly in poor health and cannot walk far and has no off street parking. Objector feels this will cause huge problems for him and his wife who is in ill health also especially for walking and daily tasks. Objector feels that traffic will disperse into his road causing more issues than now.
11	High St, Weston		y			In support as it will support local business and shoppers.
11	High St, Weston	y				Objection to this proposal as wants a full consultation for Weston to tackle the problems of parking in the area. This proposal will only displace vehicles onto neighbouring stretched roads which will cause huge problems for the residents.
11	High St, Weston	y				Objection to this proposal as limited parking here will displace vehicles onto neighbouring stretched roads which will cause huge problems for the residents who will have to walk long distances to their homes. The side streets are narrow and bin lorries often get stuck, more cars will worsen this problem. Would welcome Parking permits
11	High St, Weston	y				Objection to this proposal as wants a full consultation for Weston to tackle the problems of parking in the area. This proposal will only displace vehicles onto neighbouring stretched roads which will cause huge problems for the residents. Objector feels this will only benefit Tesco and shoppers but will cause huge problems for residents especially the young families, the disable and the frail.
11	High St, Weston	y				Local business person objecting as limited waiting will inhibit his loading / unloading and delivery service as he would have to find and park away from his business.
11	High St, Weston			y		Objection as no parking for the residents with no off street parking. Businesses will benefit. A loading bay should be included for the businesses and shoppers. If proposal goes ahead, then one hour limited waiting would suffice.
11	High St, Weston			y		Would like residents permits and parking meters for one hour parking plus regular CEOs. Would also welcome a park and ride at the Old Orchard. Parking very difficult in the village and will be much worse.
11	Weston High Street	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors and cars will disperse into neighbouring roads. Parking difficult now.
11	Weston High Street		y			In support as it will support local business and shoppers and deter day long parkers which are damaging business.

Plan	Road / Street	Object	Support	Support In part	Neither	Comments
11	Weston High Street	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors and cars will disperse into neighbouring roads. Parking difficult now. Permits wanted with proper consultation. Objector mother of 2 small children, will have to park 10 minutes away. The only people to win here would be Tescos who sold their land off for housing.
11	High St, Weston	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors and cars will disperse into neighbouring roads. Parking difficult now. Remove the all day RUH parkers to allow residents to park. Will be worse for parents of small children, the elderly and unloading. The only people to win here would be Tescos who sold their land off for housing. Loading bay required for shops as businesses will close. Business permit bay to be retained. Limited waiting should be for 30 mins not longer.
11	High St, Weston	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors and cars will disperse into neighbouring roads. Parking difficult now. Permits wanted with proper consultation. Will be worse for parents of small children, the elderly and unloading. The only people to win here would be Tescos who sold their land off for housing. Permits wanted. Limited waiting should be for 30 mins not longer.
11	High St, Weston	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors and cars will disperse into neighbouring roads. Parking difficult now. Objector has a baby and cannot walk long distances with baby and baggage. Will be worse for parents of small children, the elderly and unloading. RUH should be approached to build their own car park instead of punishing the residents of Weston. Limited waiting objected to as this will make RUH drivers park in neighbouring roads.
11	High St, Weston	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors and cars will disperse into neighbouring roads. Parking difficult now. Consultation should be done involving residents. Will be worse for the elderly. RUH should be approached to build their own car park instead of punishing the residents of Weston. Limited waiting objected to as this will make RUH drivers park in neighbouring roads. Residents will have to move their vehicles every two hours. Some residents work nights. Would prefer one or two hour parking and resident parking bays. Limited waiting on Saturday on required as most shops close early.
11	High St, Weston	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors and cars will disperse into neighbouring roads. Parking difficult now. RUH should be approached to build their own car park instead of punishing the residents of Weston. Limited waiting objected to as patients and visitors will park there blocking shoppers. Cars double park in the road, and block access to garages.
34	Ivy Place		y			In support as longstanding health and safety risks caused for emergency vehicles. Supporter has relative in road who has to be carried by stretcher on a regular basis to the ambulance in front of neighbours as ambulances cannot get through.

Plan	Road / Street	Object	Support	Support In part	Neither	Comments
34	Ivy Place		y			In support as longstanding health and safety risks caused for emergency vehicles. Supporter has terminally ill neighbour in road who has to be carried by stretcher on a regular basis to the ambulance at the bottom of the road in front of neighbours as ambulances cannot get through. Cars blocking driveway regularly. Recieved 1st July, out of consultation.
4	Oldfield Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors in Junction Road as cars will disperse from Oldfield Road into Junction Road. Parking difficult now. Objectors are elderly and would not be able to walk for a long distance especially with bags. No Parking permits.
4	Oldfield Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors in Junction Road as cars will disperse from Oldfield Road into Junction Road. Parking very difficult now. Road is narrow with tight bends. dispersing traffic will worsen the situation.
4	Oldfield Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors in Junction Road as cars will disperse from Oldfield Road into Junction Road. Parking very difficult now. Road is narrow with tight bends. dispersing traffic will worsen the situation.
4	Oldfield Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors in Junction Road as cars will disperse from Oldfield Road into Junction Road. Parking very difficult now. Road is narrow with tight bends. dispersing traffic will worsen the situation.
4	Oldfield Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors in Junction Road as cars will disperse from Oldfield Road into Junction Road. Parking very difficult now. Road is narrow with tight bends. dispersing traffic will worsen the situation. Parking permits requested.
4	Oldfield Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors in Junction Road as cars will disperse from Oldfield Road into Junction Road. Parking very difficult now. Road is narrow with tight bends. dispersing traffic will worsen the situation. Parking permits requested.
4	Oldfield Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors in Junction Road as cars will disperse from Oldfield Road into Junction Road. Parking very difficult now. Road is narrow with tight bends. dispersing traffic will worsen the situation. Parking permits requested.
4	Oldfield Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors in Junction Road as cars will disperse from Oldfield Road into Junction Road. Parking very difficult now. Road is narrow with tight bends. dispersing traffic will worsen the situation. Parking permits requested.
4	Oldfield Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors. Parking very difficult now. This proposal will mean that the resident will have to park one or two streets away in the daytime.

Plan	Road / Street	Object	Support	Support In part	Neither	Comments
4	Oldfield Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors. Parking very difficult now. Cars park on pavements causing restricted view. Dyls will cause the cars to speed up effecting safety for pedestrains and road users.
4	Oldfield Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors. Parking very difficult now. This proposal will mean that residents will have to park one or two streets away in the daytime. Permits wanted.
4	Oldfield Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors. Parking very difficult now. Cars park on pavements causing restricted view. Dyls will cause the cars to speed up effecting safety for pedestrains and road users. Trying to park will be intolerable. Permits wanted.
4	Oldfield Road	y				No issues at site. Present parking slows drivers. Suggestion to paint dyl down one side of Oldfield Rd before Junction Rd to impede drivers. Not consulted on.
4	Oldfield Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors. Parking very difficult now. Objector has 2 small children and it is difficult to park safely. Trying to park will be intolerable. Permits wanted.
4	Oldfield Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors in Junction Road as cars will disperse from Oldfield Road into Junction Road. Parking very difficult now. Dispersing traffic will worsen the situation. Wants better consultation with the residents and parking schemes.
32	Partis Way	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors as space is limited now as dyl along one side and RUH staff. Parking very difficult now as road is narrow. Parking permits requested.
38	Penn Hill Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors as space is limited now due to RUH staff. No sight issues at the top of road where proposal is proposed. Permits wanted
38	Penn Hill Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors as space is limited now due to narrow one way road often blocked with cars. Emergency vehicles often unable to get in. This will make the situation worse. Permits wanted.
38	Penn Hill Road	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors as space is limited now due to narrow one way road often blocked with cars. Emergency vehicles often unable to get in. This will make the situation worse. Permits wanted.
27	St Michael's Road			y		DYLS supported to stop pavement parkers but on the East side o/s cemetery as the other side will restrict view to drivers.
47	St Stephens Close	y				Will remove valuable parking for residents and visitors. Parking very difficult now. Cars park on pavements causing restricted view. Dyls at the entrance will move commuters into the close blocking their exits more than now. Permits wanted.
80	UpperLambridgeStreet		y			In full agreement as lorries have to stop in the road to unload halting traffic until they have finished.

Plan	Road / Street	Object	Support	Support In part	Neither	Comments
48	Weston Park			y		Agree with proposals to remove yellow lines but would like to see the limited waiting moved earlier as this will deter daytime parkers, residents will not have to return to their cars mid day and it will stop RUH workers parking as they could not use their lunch times to move their cars. Residents with young families and the elderly struggle to park and walk from streets away. The 2 hour limit at Weston High Street will move the cars into The Grove exacerbating the problem. Permits parking.
48	Weston Park			y		Agree with proposals as objector has no on street parking and dyls o/s her house. Wants to see RUH workers given their own parking and parking permits in place.
48	Weston Park			y		Agree with proposals but would like to see the limited waiting moved to 10.00am to 11.00am as this will deter daytime parkers, residents will not have to return to their cars mid day and it will stop RUH workers parking as they could not use their lunch times to move their cars.
63	Whitewells Road	y				No issues in this road. Resident of 30 years. Restricting residents would cause major issues for residents with unloading and parking at or near their homes. Unnecessary and unwelcome. Will cause neighbourhood tension.
63	Whitewells Road	y				No issues in this road. Resident of 40 years. Restricting residents would cause major issues for residents with unloading and parking at or near their homes. Unnecessary and unwelcome.
63	Whitewells Road	y				Objection but no reason.
63	Whitewells Road	y				No issues in this road. Restricting parking would cause major issues for residents with unloading and parking at or near their homes. Unnecessary and unwelcome especially for the old, infirm and young families.
63	Whitewells Road	y				No issues in this road. Resident of 32 years. Restricting parking would cause major issues for residents with unloading and parking at or near their homes. Unnecessary and unwelcome. Will cause neighbourhood tension especially for the old, infirm and young families.
63	Whitewells Road	y				No issues in this road. Resident of 7 years. Restricting parking would cause major issues for residents with unloading and parking at or near their homes. Unnecessary and unwelcome. Will cause neighbourhood tension especially for the old, infirm and young families. Objector has young family and will have to park a long walk from home and transport children, buggies shopping etc to home at times in inclement weather.